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Abstract

Obesity is associated with lower brain volumes in cognitively normal elderly subjects, but no study has yet investigated the effects of obesity on brain structure in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or Alzheimer’s disease (AD). To determine if higher body mass index (BMI) is associated with brain volume deficits in cognitively impaired elderly subjects, we analyzed brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans of 700 MCI or AD patients from 2 different cohorts: the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) and the Cardiovascular Health Study-Cognition Study (CHS-CS). Tensor-based morphometry (TBM) was used to create 3-dimensional maps of regional tissue excess or deficits in subjects with MCI (ADNI, \(n = 399\); CHS-CS, \(n = 77\)) and AD (ADNI, \(n = 188\); CHS, \(n = 36\)). In both AD and MCI groups, higher body mass index was associated with brain volume deficits in frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital lobes; the atrophic pattern was consistent in both ADNI and CHS populations. Cardiovascular risk factors, especially obesity, should be considered as influencing brain structure in those already afflicted by cognitive impairment and dementia.
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1. Introduction

Much ongoing research aims to discover preventable risk factors for Alzheimer’s disease (AD), the most common cause of dementia. Cardiovascular risk factors have long been known to increase risk for vascular dementia, but an increasing number of studies are linking higher body fat to risk for Alzheimer’s disease. In several epidemiological studies, people with a higher body mass index (BMI) during midlife have a greater risk for developing AD (Fitzpatrick et al., 2009; Gustafson et al., 2003; Kivipelto et al., 2005; Whitmer et al., 2007). Cognitive function is, on average,
somewhat impaired in elderly subjects who are obese (Elias et al., 2003). The neuropathological hallmarks of AD, amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, are also more likely to be expressed in elderly obese persons (Mrak, 2009). Vascular factors are also associated with faster cognitive decline and with more rapid progression of AD (Helzner et al., 2009). This work collectively suggests that being overweight or obese may increase risk for Alzheimer’s disease and may be associated with a great level of functional impairment and pathology.

Midlife obesity is a strong predictor of dementia late in life (Gustafson et al., 2003; Whitmer et al., 2007; Yaffe et al., 2004), but low BMI, later in life, and rapid weight loss have also been linked to dementia in the elderly (Fitzpatrick et al., 2009). Previous studies (Buchman et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2006) support this dissociation, or “obesity paradox”; even so, body mass index and brain structure in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early AD patients have not previously been correlated.

Adiposity is considered to be a part of a broader syndrome. The co-occurrence of at least 3 of the following cardiovascular factors including large waist circumference (or adiposity), increased triglycerides, elevated blood pressure, and fasting hyperglycemia has been referred to as “the metabolic syndrome” (Yaffe et al., 2004). Adiposity is also associated with insulin resistance and subsequent type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, degenerative joint disease, cancer, and lung problems (Poirier et al., 2006).

Adiposity is typically assessed with anthropometric measures, including the most simple and commonly used measure, BMI, which is calculated as weight (kg)/height (m^2). This ratio was first described in 1832 by Adolphe Quetelet (Eknoyan, 2008), and was later confirmed in 1972 by Ancel Keys as the best proxy for body fat percentage (Keys et al., 1972). While BMI is a convenient measure, it has its limitations; ethnicity and age affect BMI, and, starting in midlife, the ratio of fat-free mass to height decreases with age, especially among women (Barlett et al., 1991). BMI strongly correlates with fat tissue and waist circumference; there is some debate as whether waist circumference is a more sensitive marker of adiposity than BMI (Janssen et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2004).

Brain structural integrity, as indexed by the volumes of brain substructures, reflects underlying neuronal health; some progressive tissue loss occurs with normal aging but this is greatly accelerated in AD and MCI. To better understand how obesity can raise risk for AD, it is helpful to investigate how it relates to the structural integrity of the brain. In a prior study of cognitively normal elderly adults (Raji et al., 2010), we found that greater body tissue adiposity as reflected by a higher BMI was associated with lower brain volumes in the hippocampus, orbital frontal cortex, and parietal lobes. Regions where tissue reductions were correlated with obesity were identified using tensor-based morphometry (TBM), an advanced method for computational analysis of brain structure that measures the level of brain tissue loss or excess at high resolution between groups of subjects (Hua et al., 2008a) or over time in longitudinal studies (Ho et al., 2010a; Hua et al., 2009). Recent proton magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy studies also showed that higher BMI was correlated with lower concentrations of a spectroscopic marker of neuronal viability in frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes (Gazdzinski et al., 2008, 2009).

Higher body tissue adiposity is known to be related to brain atrophy in cognitively normal elderly persons (Raji et al., 2010), so a logical extension is to see if such a relationship is also found in cognitively impaired individuals. Our prior study was limited to a sample of 94 cognitively healthy individuals from the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) (Raji et al., 2010), so we extended the design here to a sample over 7 times the size. We found that the relationship between BMI and atrophy was maintained not just in healthy elderly but even in MCI and AD, and across large independent referral-based and community-based cohorts, with different demographics and risk factors. Jointly analyzing datasets from large independent samples is also of interest to understand how well risk factors for atrophy extend from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), which is referral-based, to more general epidemiological studies, such as the CHS-CS. In one of the largest structural brain mapping studies ever performed, we provided new evidence for the hypothesis that higher BMI is associated with additional burden on brain structure in MCI and in early Alzheimer’s disease. Possible causes of this association include poor diet and/or poor vascular health, as well as specific susceptibility genes, such as the obesity gene FTO, which affects both body mass and the brain (Ho et al., 2010b).

To evaluate associations between BMI and brain structure, we used TBM analyses of 3-dimensional volumetric brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans from two independent cohorts: the Alzheimer Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), and the Cardiovascular Health Study-Cognition Study (CHS-CS). By comparing results of our analyses from a population-based community cohort study (CHS-CS) with those from a referral clinic-based population (ADNI), we sought to amplify power and replicate our findings in independent population samples. We hypothesized that the association between BMI and brain structure would be detectable throughout the brain in both samples, after statistically controlling for any effects of age, sex, and education on brain structure.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Subjects were selected from two separate cohorts: the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (Ta-
The mean ± SD is shown for the following variables: age, education, BMI, MMSE, and sum-of-boxes CDR.

**Key:** AD, Alzheimer’s disease; ADNI, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; BMI, body mass index; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MNI, Mental State Examination.

*An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the variable age only to determine whether the mean age differed significantly between ADNI and CHS groups. The average age was different between ADNI and CHS groups for the AD patients (F(1,222) = 24.5; p = 1.468 × 10^{-5}), and for the MCI patients (F(1,474) = 32.2; p = 2.404 × 10^{-5}).

**A** A χ² test was performed for the categorical variable, presence of ApoE4; the subscript indicates the number of degrees of freedom. The incidence of the ApoE4 allele was different between ADNI and CHS groups in AD patients (χ²₁ = 18.8; p = 1.456 × 10^{-5}) and MCI patients (χ²₁ = 16; p = 6.5 × 10^{-5}).

### Table 1
Subject demographics and neuropsychiatric characteristics for the ADNI sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>AD (n = 188)</th>
<th>MCI (n = 399)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>75.4 ± 7.5a</td>
<td>74.8 ± 7.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (&gt;high school)</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of ApoE4</td>
<td>67.0%b</td>
<td>54.6%b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>26.1 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>23.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>27.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum-of-boxes CDR</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.2. Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI).

The ADNI is a study launched in 2004 by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), private pharmaceutical companies, and nonprofit organizations, as a 5-year public-private partnership (Mueller et al., 2005a, 2005b). The goal of ADNI is to determine biological markers of Alzheimer’s disease through neuroimaging, genetics, neuropsychological tests, and other measures, to develop new treatments and monitor their effectiveness, and lessen the time of clinical trials. Subjects were recruited from 58 sites in the USA. The study was conducted according to the Good Clinical Practice guidelines, the Declaration of Helsinki, USA 21 CFR Part 50 – Protection of Human Subjects, and Part 56 – Institutional Review Boards. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before protocol-specific procedures were performed. All data acquired as part of this study are publicly available (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/).

The ADNI subjects in this study met the following criteria: (1) baseline scans were available on the ADNI public database (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/Data/) on 25 June 2009 (the date of download); (2) had height and weight measurements taken at the time of the baseline scan; and (3) diagnosed as AD or MCI based on clinical and cognitive examinations at time of baseline scan. Of the 823 ADNI subjects available, 587 (MCI, n = 399; 74.8 ± 7.4 years; AD, n = 188, 75.4 ± 7.5 years) met the inclusion criteria for our study described above (Table 1). All subjects underwent clinical and cognitive evaluations at the time of their MRI scan, including the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) and the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE). Average sum-of-boxes CDR and MMSE scores for each diagnostic group are shown in Table 1. The sum-of-boxes clinical dementia rating (CDR-SB), ranging from 0 to 18, measures dementia severity by evaluating patients’ performance in 6 domains: memory, orientation, judgment and problem solving, community affairs, home and hobbies, and personal care (Berg, 1988; Hughes et al., 1982; Morris, 1993). The MMSE, with scores ranging from 0 to 30, is a global measure of mental status based on 5 cognitive domains: orientation registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language (Cockrell and Folsite, 1988; Folsite et al., 1975). Scores below 24 are typically associated with dementia. All AD patients met National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS/ADRDA) criteria for probable AD (McKhann et al., 1984). On average, AD patients in this study were considered to have mild to moderate — but not severe — Alzheimer’s disease, with...
mean baseline MMSE scores of 23.3 ± 2.0 SD and mean sum-of-boxes CDR scores of 4.4 ± 1.6 SD. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in the ADNI protocol (Mueller et al., 2005a, 2005b).

All ADNI subjects (n = 587) examined in this study had weight (pounds or kilograms) and height (inches or centimeters) measurements taken during a physical examination at the time of their baseline MRI scan. All values were converted to weight (pounds) and height (inches) and BMI was computed using the following formula: weight (lb) × 703/[height (in)]² using a constant to account for the unit conversion. Because the distribution of BMI is slightly skewed (see histogram, Figure 1) due to the outliers which tend to be above the mean more commonly than below it (see box plot, Figure 2), we categorized the data into quartiles. By doing this, the outliers at the high end of the BMI distribution do not have undue influence and are aggregated into the highest quartile, which is then treated as a category. The association between brain atrophy and BMI was still found after binning the subjects into quartiles based on their BMI (ADNI, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.022; CHS-CS, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.012). We also analyzed the data excluding outliers, shown as BMI values greater than 99.3% (Figure 2; n = 17, for ADNI; n = 5, for CHS-CS) (ADNI, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.024; CHS-CS, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.010) and found that all maps of associations between BMI and atrophy remained statistically significant (ADNI, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.024; CHS-CS, critical uncorrected p-value = 0.010).

2.3. The Pittsburgh Cardiovascular Health Study-Cognition Study (CHS-CS)

The CHS-CS was designed to determine the incidence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in 1998–1999 in a population of subjects identified as normal or MCI in 1991–1994 (Lopez et al., 2003). Details of the CHS design have been described previously (Fried et al., 1991;...
Tell et al., 1993). Briefly, subjects were recruited from a Medicare database and were eligible if they were over age 65, ambulatory, and noninstitutionalized. In 1998–1999, 456 subjects had completed neurological and neuropsychological examinations and were scanned with 3-dimensional volumetric brain MRI (Raji et al., 2009). We used MRI scans from 1998 to 1999 because subjects at 1989–1990 and 1992–1993 were scanned with low-resolution MRI. In 1998–1999, 116 subjects were diagnosed with AD or MCI. Of these, we analyzed 113 subjects that met the following criteria: diagnosed with either MCI (n = 77; 79.8 ± 4.3 years) or AD (n = 36; 81.9 ± 5.2 years), and had a high-resolution MRI scan and body mass index measurements taken in 1998–1999 (Figs. 1 and 2, and Table 2).

Diagnosis of MCI and dementia were based on neuropsychological, psychiatric, and neurological assessments described in detail here (Lopez et al., 2003). All subjects underwent extensive neuropsychiatric examinations including Modified Mini Mental State Examination (3MSE) (Cockrell and Folstein, 1988; Folstein et al., 1975) and the Digit Symbol Substitution Test (DSST) (Salthouse, 1978) with scores less than 80 or 78 being classified as possible prevalent dementia (Kuller et al., 1998). The 3MSE and DSST were not used for diagnosis or as part of a 2-step diagnostic process; however these scores are included in Table 2 to show range of cognitive function in MCI and AD patient groups. The diagnosis of MCI and AD was made by an adjudication committee after reviewing the neuropsychological battery, neurological, and clinical data.

2.4. Education

The number of years of education completed was accounted for and subjects were categorized into 2 groups: those who completed more than 12 years of education (more than high school) or those who completed 12 or fewer years (see Tables 1 and 2). Data on educational level was not recorded in exactly the same way for both cohorts (ADNI and CHS). For example, educational level in the CHS cohort was categorized into the following groups: completion of some high school (less than 12 years), completed high school (12 years), received GED (General Educational Development credential; 13 years), some college (14 years), completed college (15 years), or completed some professional school (16 years). In the ADNI, data on educational level was based on the number of years of education completed. To remain consistent in our replication analyses, we treated education as a dichotomous variable (“0” for those who did not complete high school, and “1” for those who did complete high school), to avoid using the different educational level variables, and to use a common measure that could be derived from the available data on both cohorts.

2.5. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) subtypes

The MCI amnestic subtype carries greatest risk for imminent conversion to AD and is defined by the following: subjective memory problems, objective memory impairment for age, preserved general cognitive function, intact functional activities, and not demented (Petersen, 2004). The MCI subjects (n = 399) in the ADNI cohort are all considered as amnestic MCI (Mueller et al., 2005b). In the CHS cohort, the MCI amnestic subtype was less frequent with probable amnestic (n = 9, or 8.0%) and possible amnestic (n = 7, 6.2%). The total number of possible and probable CHS subjects with the amnestic MCI subtype was 16 out of 113 (or 14.2%). The neuropsychological characteristics of MCI subgroups have been identified in the CHS (Lopez et al., 2006). Because we analyzed data from 2 completely different types of cohorts, ADNI (referral-based) and CHS (community-based), the prevalence of amnestic MCI subtype was expected to be different (see Discussion for more details).

2.6. MRI acquisition and image correction

All ADNI subjects were scanned at multiple ADNI sites according to a standardized protocol developed after a major effort to evaluate 3-dimensional (3D) T1-weighted sequences for morphometric analyses (Jack et al., 2008). High resolution structural brain MRI scans were acquired, in ADNI, using 1.5 and 3 Tesla (T) MRI scanners; however, because most subjects were scanned at 1.5 T, and to avoid any potentially confounding effect of scanner field strength on tissue volume quantification, which we examined in (Ho et al., 2010a), we restricted our analysis to 1.5 T MRI scans.

In the 1.5 T scanning protocol, each subject underwent two 1.5 T T1-weighted MRI scans using a 3D sagittal volumetric magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence. As described in Jack et al. (2008), typical 1.5 T acquisition parameters are repetition time (TR) of 2400 ms, minimum full TE, inversion time (TI) of 1000 ms, flip angle 8°, 24 cm field of view, with a 256 × 256 × 170 acquisition matrix in the x-, y-, and z-dimensions yielding a voxel size of 1.25 × 1.25 × 1.2 mm³. In-plane, zero-filled reconstruction yielded a 256 × 256 matrix for a reconstructed voxel size of 0.9375 × 0.9375 × 1.2 mm³. In plane, zero-filled reconstruction yielded a 256 × 256 matrix for a reconstructed voxel size of 1.0 × 1.0 × 1.2 mm³. To ensure consistency among scans acquired at different sites, all images were calibrated with phantom-based geometric corrections (Gunter et al., 2006).

Additional image corrections were also applied, using a processing pipeline at the Mayo Clinic, consisting of: (1) a procedure termed GradWarp to correct geometric distortion due to gradient nonlinearity (Jovicich et al., 2006); (2) a “B1-correction”, to adjust for image intensity inhomogeneity due to B1 nonuniformity using calibration scans (Jack et al., 2008); (3) “N3” bias field correction, for reducing re-
sidual intensity inhomogeneity (Sled et al., 1998); and (4) geometric scaling, according to a phantom scan acquired for each subject (Jack et al., 2008), to adjust for scanner- and session-specific calibration errors. In addition to the original uncorrected image files, images with all of these corrections already applied (GradWarp, B1, phantom scaling, and N3) are available to the general scientific community at www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI.

All CHS-CS MRI data were acquired at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Magnetic Resonance Research Center using a 1.5 T GE Signa scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, LX Version). A 3D volumetric spoiled gradient recalled acquisition (SPGR) sequence was obtained covering the whole brain (TE/TR = 5/25 ms, flip angle = 40°, number of excitations (NEX) = 1, slice thickness = 1.5 mm/0 mm interslice gap). Images were acquired with an in-plane acquisition matrix of 256 × 256 × 124 image elements, 250 × 250 mm field of view, and an in-plane voxel size of 0.98 mm³.

2.7. Image preprocessing

Image preprocessing steps have been described in previous studies (Ho et al., 2010a; Raji et al., 2010). Briefly, all scans were corrected for intensity nonuniformities and linearly registered to the International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM-53) (Mazziotta et al., 2001) standard brain image template using a 9-parameter registration to account for global position and scale differences across individuals, including head size (Hua et al., 2008a). Globally aligned images were resampled in an isotropic space of 220 voxels along each axis (x, y, and z) with a final voxel size of 1 mm³.

2.8. Tensor-based morphometry and 3D Jacobian maps

For each cohort, an “average” brain image, was constructed using MRI scans of 40 cognitively normal subjects to enable automated image registration, reduce statistical bias, and to make statistically significant effects easier to detect (Hua et al., 2008a, 2008b; Kochunov et al., 2001). A study-specific template was created using 40 cognitively normal individuals from the ADNI database and a separate template was created using 40 subjects from the CHS sample. Both templates were age- and sex-matched according to their corresponding sample population. All scans were non-linearly aligned to their own study-specific template so that each cohort would share a common coordinate system. The local expansion factor of the 3D elastic warping transform, known as the Jacobian determinant, was plotted for each subject (Leow et al., 2005). These 3D Jacobian maps show relative volume differences between each individual and the common template, and reveal areas of structural volume deficits, or expansions (e.g., in the ventricles), relative to the healthy population average.

2.9. Correlations of structural brain differences with BMI

As in our prior work (Ho et al., 2010b), associations between BMI and brain structure were examined by fitting a standard multiple regression model to the data at each voxel, of the form:

\[ y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \varepsilon \]

As is standard in statistical brain mapping, a separate regression equation was fitted to the data at different voxels. At any specific voxel, the dependent variable, \( y \), is the vector whose components represent the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of deformation at that particular voxel (a measure of regional brain volume). The independent measure, \( x_1 \), is a vector whose components represent the BMI across subjects, \( x_2 \) is a vector representing the age of each subject, \( x_3 \) is a vector representing the sex of each subject, and \( \varepsilon \) is an error term. This regression is conducted at each voxel in the brain to determine the association of BMI with structural differences, in the subject sample, across the entire brain. Using the general linear model, a \( p \)-value map can be made for each regression coefficient, showing the significance of its fit to the data at each voxel.

At each voxel, partial correlations were computed between the Jacobian values (which represent brain tissue deficit or excess relative to the standard template) and BMI at baseline, controlling for age, sex, and education. All correlation maps were corrected for multiple comparisons using the widely used false discovery rate (FDR) method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). The FDR method decides whether a threshold can be assigned to the statistical map (of correlations) that keeps the expected false discovery rate below 5% (i.e., no more than 5% of the voxels are false positive findings). This threshold is based on the expected proportion of voxels with statistics exceeding any given threshold under the null hypothesis (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

The covariates (age, sex, and education) were chosen for theoretical reasons, based on a prior hypothesis that they would have an effect, rather than just testing a large number of measures and retaining only the ones that gave a good fit to the empirical data. Age, sex, and years of education were controlled for in the regression analysis as these variables have previously been found to correlate with individual differences in brain volume previously in independent samples of younger adults (Brun et al., 2009; Luders et al., 2009a). While we tested the correlations with and without the covariates, we have reported the critical uncorrected \( p \)-values and beta maps that have been controlled for age, sex, and education. It is best to show the results after adjusting for these factors, as these variables are known from prior studies to be somewhat correlated with individual differences in brain volume (Brun et al., 2009; Luders et al., 2009a).
3. Results

Our study had 3 main findings: (1) higher BMI was associated with lower brain volumes (Fig. 3) in a combined group of patients diagnosed with MCI or AD replicated in two independent cohorts, ADNI and CHS; (2) affected areas included the frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital lobe regions in 2 separate elderly cohorts (Figs. 3 and 4); and (3) in AD but not MCI, ventricular expansion was also associated with higher BMI (Fig. 5), but this effect was only found in the ADNI cohort, not in the CHS-CS cohort (the high sample sizes with ADNI gave higher power).

In a whole brain analysis, every unit increase in BMI was associated with a 0.5%–1.5% average brain tissue reduction (depending on the brain region) after controlling for age, sex, and education in a pooled sample of all MCI and AD patients from the CHS-CS and ADNI cohorts (Fig. 3; CHS-CS, n = 113, critical uncorrected p-value: 0.006; ADNI, n = 587, critical uncorrected p-value: 0.025). We used a standard FDR correction for multiple statistical comparisons across voxels in the brain, at the conventionally accepted level of \( Q = 0.05 \); this means that only 5% of the voxels shown in the thresholded statistical maps are expected to be false positives. Regression coefficient (unstandardized beta) maps are shown only for statistically significant regions, representing an estimate of the average percent brain tissue decrease for each unit increase in BMI. Warmer colors (orange and red) represent regions where every unit increase in BMI is associated with an average 1.0%–1.5% reduction in brain tissue. The ADNI sample \( (n = 587) \) is about 5 times larger than the CHS-CS sample \( (n = 113) \). The unstandardized beta value scales for each cohort are slightly different (Fig. 3), but as unstandardized beta values are measures of effect size, they should remain somewhat stable as the sample size is increased. Yellow colors, shown in the ADNI whole brain maps (Fig. 3, bottom panel) suggest that there is a roughly 0.5% decrease in brain tissue (locally) for every unit increase in BMI. Blue colors, displayed only in the ADNI whole brain maps (Fig. 3, bottom panel) are found in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) regions, and these effects are likely attributable to ventricular expansion or expansion of the interhemispheric fissure and other sulcal spaces (as seen in past studies, e.g., Hua et al., 2008a). The frontal, temporal, and occipital, and to a lesser extent the parietal lobes show associations in both CHS-CS and ADNI cohorts, with a more restricted pattern of associations with brain atrophy observed in the CHS sample. Additionally, the brainstem and cerebellum are associated with a 0.5% brain volume deficit per unit BMI increase in the ADNI cohort but associations in
those regions are not detected in the CHS-CS cohort (perhaps due to the smaller sample size).

To further investigate if BMI shows different patterns of association with brain volumetric deficits in MCI and AD, correlations were computed between BMI and Jacobian values in a whole brain analysis in subjects diagnosed with MCI only (Fig. 4; CHS-CS, \( n = 77 \), critical uncorrected \( p \)-value: 0.0093) and Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) cohort (bottom row; \( n = 400 \), critical uncorrected \( p \)-value: 0.018). These represent the estimated degree of tissue excess or deficit at each voxel, as a percentage, for every unit increase in BMI, after statistically controlling for effects age, sex, and education on brain structure. Images are in radiological convention (left side of the brain shown on the right) and are displayed on a specially constructed average brain template created from the subjects within each cohort (mean deformation template, or MDT).

3D maps for the Cardiovascular Health Study-Cognition Study (CHS-CS) cohort did not pass false discovery rate (FDR) and are therefore not displayed. These represent the estimated degree of tissue excess or deficit at each voxel, as a percentage, for every unit increase in BMI, after statistically controlling for the effects age, sex, and education on brain structure. Images are in radiological convention (left side of the brain shown on the right) and are displayed on a specially constructed average brain template created from the subjects within each cohort (mean deformation template, or MDT).

3D maps for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) subjects only in the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) cohort (\( n = 188 \), critical uncorrected \( p \)-value: 0.012). After controlling for age, sex, and education, every unit increase in BMI was associated with approximately 1%–2% average brain tissue reduction in the CHS-CS sample and 0.5%–1.5% average brain tissue decrease in the ADNI sample. Again, the distribution of regions where associations were detected is more widespread in the ADNI compared with the CHS-CS sample; however, the sample sizes differ by a factor of 5, boosting ADNI’s
power to detect brain regions whose volumes are significantly associated with BMI. Similar brain areas, including the frontal, temporal, and occipital lobes are affected in the MCI group when compared with the atrophy pattern observed in the combined MCI and AD group; however, the pattern of decreased brain volume is more restricted in the MCI-only group. Again, the brainstem is a region showing detectable associations with BMI in the ADNI group, but these effects are undetected (or not present) in the (smaller) CHS-CS cohort.

Patients with AD had widespread brain volume deficits and ventricular expansion associated with increased BMI (Fig. 5; ADNI, \( n = 188 \), critical uncorrected \( p \)-value: 0.012) in a comparable pattern to the associations observed in the MCI patients (Fig. 4). After controlling for age, sex, and education, every unit increase in BMI was associated with approximately 1%–2% average brain tissue reduction in the frontal, temporal, and occipital lobes, brainstem, and cerebellum, shown in orange and red colors. Blue colors represent approximately a 3% expansion, associated with every unit increase in BMI, observed only in the ventricles of AD patients. 3D maps, correlating BMI and Jacobian values, in the CHS-CS cohort (\( n = 36 \)) did not pass FDR correction, most likely due to limited sample size and lack of power, and are therefore not shown.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to use two separate cohorts to show that higher body tissue adiposity is correlated with brain atrophy in patients diagnosed with MCI and AD. These correlations are still found within diagnostic groups, and they persist after adjusting for other factors that influence brain volumes or brain atrophy, such as age, sex, and education. Many of the affected brain regions are responsible for cognitive function, such as the hippocampus and frontal lobes, and are consistent with regions identified in prior work that focused only on healthy subjects (Gazdzinski et al., 2008, 2009; Raji et al., 2010). These findings were generated in a pooled sample of MCI and AD subjects (Fig. 3) but also held when the MCI (Fig. 4) and AD (Fig. 5) subjects were analyzed separately. The lack of statistically significant findings in the CHS-CS AD subjects may just reflect the relatively smaller sample size in that group (\( n = 36 \)) compared with the number of ADNI AD subjects (\( n = 188 \)).

Prior work has also suggested that the amount of adipose tissue in the body can modify the metabolism of beta-amyloid, thus increasing risk for AD (Moroz et al., 2008). Obesity can also raise risk for vascular diseases such as hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus that themselves can induce deficits in brain structure and function (Dai et al., 2008; Iadecola, 2004; Watson et al., 2003). Even so, associations between brain structure and BMI persist even after adjusting for the presence of diabetes (DM2) and for fasting plasma insulin levels (Raji et al., 2010). All these studies suggest that obesity can modify risk for AD through at least several mechanisms.

Even though a fairly consistent pattern of brain atrophy associated with obesity was observed in both ADNI and CHS-CS samples, several key brain regions linked with higher BMI in the ADNI subjects but were not detected in the CHS-CS subjects. In MCI and AD subjects scanned as a part of ADNI, higher BMI was correlated with lower brain tissue in more broadly distributed regions including the cerebellum and brainstem (i.e., pons, midbrain, and upper part of the medulla; Fig. 3 and 4). Further, CSF expansion — an indication of lower brain volume in adjacent brain regions — was also observed in the ADNI group. These differences could be attributed to the sample size difference between the ADNI (\( n = 587 \)) and CHS-CS (\( n = 113 \)) groups. A 5-fold increase in sample size greatly increases the power to detect a more broadly distributed pattern of brain atrophy in the ADNI sample that may go undetected in the smaller CHS-CS sample.

In the MCI subjects, BMI is significantly correlated with volume variation in brain regions that do not completely overlap in the CHS-CS (Fig. 4, top row) and the ADNI cohorts (Fig. 4, bottom row). This difference could be attributed to the percentage of amnestic MCI subjects present in the ADNI (100%) versus the CHS-CS (8%, probable amnestic MCI; 6.2% possible amnestic MCI) groups. A previous CHS-CS study analyzing MCI subjects found greater volume deficits in the hippocampus, amygdala, entorhinal cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, superior temporal, and parietal cortices of amnestic MCI subjects compared with MCI subjects without memory impairments (Lopez et al., 2006). Thus, these structural brain differences in amnestic versus nonamnestic MCI subtypes may affect the strength and location of correlations between brain structure and BMI. In ADNI, there is more widespread atrophy overall compared with the CHS-CS group. The brainstem does not show detectable atrophy in the CHS-CS group, but is shown to be a brain region whose volume is negatively associated with BMI. Even so, similar brain areas, including frontal, temporal, and occipital lobe regions, were correlated with BMI in both ADNI and CHS-CS populations.

Another possibility is that the differences in the subject demographics of each cohort are contributing to the differences in these ADNI and CHS-CS brain maps (Fig. 3 and 4), even after controlling for effects of age, sex, and education on brain structure. For example, the AD patients are, on average, younger in the ADNI group than the CHS-CS group (ADNI = 75.4 ± 7.5 vs. CHS = 81.9 ± 5.2 years; \( F(1,222) = 24.5, p \)-value = 1.47 × 10^{-6})). Similarly, MCI patients are also younger in ADNI (ADNI = 74.8 ± 7.4 vs. CHS-CS = 79.8 ± 4.3 years; \( F(1,474) = 32.2, p \)-value = 2.40 × 10^{-8}). The effect of age on brain structure was corrected for in our analysis; however, the overall age difference between the ADNI and CHS-CS groups may be an
indication that the referral-based cohort, ADNI, may include subjects with more severe symptoms of AD at an earlier age.

Both samples in this study were predominantly Caucasian, so care must be exercised in generalizing the findings to other ethnic groups. There are known ethnic differences in the prevalence of known risk genes for obesity, including a commonly carried variant in the FTO (fat mass and obesity-associated) gene. For example, 51% of Yorubans (West African natives), 46% of Western and Central Europeans, and only 16% of Chinese carry the “adverse” FTO allele that is associated with a higher BMI (Frazer et al., 2007). Further, the prevalence of AD and other dementias is about 2 times higher in African-Americans than in elderly whites of the same age group, according to the Aging, Demographics and Memory Study (ADAMS) (Plassman et al., 2007). Another study showed that Hispanics were 2.5 times more likely than whites of the same age and sex to have AD and other dementias (Gurland et al., 1999). Other conditions including high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes, and stroke have been shown to increase the risk for AD (Luchsinger et al., 2005), and these tend to be more common in African-Americans and Hispanics (Langa et al., 2006). The 2006 Health and Retirement Study showed that high blood pressure and diabetes tended to be more common in African-Americans and Hispanics than in whites, but heart disease was more common in whites than in African-American and Hispanic groups (Langa et al., 2006). Thus, consideration of ethnic and racial differences is critical to a complete understanding of how obesity influences brain structure and risk for cognitive impairment and AD.

Prior studies report mixed findings regarding how the BMI-brain structure association may be influenced by sex differences. One study found a correlation between BMI and cerebral volume atrophy in Japanese men but not women (Taki et al., 2008), but another study of Swedish women showed substantial temporal lobe atrophy (Gustafson et al., 2004). Another CHS-CS study did not detect a sex difference in BMI-related brain atrophy in 94 elderly cognitively healthy subjects (Raji et al., 2010). These past studies focus on nondemented populations, so further study is needed on the effect of sex differences in MCI and AD subjects. In our study, we controlled for the effects of sex differences in brain structure by including sex as a covariate in our model; however, BMI by sex interactions may be detectable in future studies.

Our referral-based and community-based cohorts differ in several ways, including the percentage of subjects carrying the ApoE4 risk gene for Alzheimer’s disease. The percentage of patients carrying the ApoE4 genetic variant is much higher in the ADNI study compared with CHS-CS for both the AD (ADNI = 67.0% vs. CHS-CS = 23.3%; χ² = 18.8, p-value = 1.5 × 10⁻⁴) and MCI groups (ADNI = 54.6% vs. CHS-CS = 27.5%; χ² = 16.0, p-value = 6.5 × 10⁻⁵). Again, the higher percentage of subjects carrying the ApoE4 variant in the referral-based group supports the notion that ADNI subjects may experience more severe symptoms compared with their CHS-CS counterparts. Prior ADNI studies found greater hippocampal (Schuff et al., 2009) and temporal lobe atrophy in ApoE4 carriers versus noncarriers (Hua et al., 2008b). Even after accounting for the presence of the ApoE4 allele, in addition to the effects of age, sex, and education, BMI was still correlated with lower regional brain volumes (ADNI: critical uncorrected p-value = 0.025; CHS-CS: critical uncorrected p-value = 0.004).

Some prior studies have reported low late-life BMI and rapid weight loss in patients with dementia (Fitzpatrick et al., 2009), yet our results provide evidence that higher BMI is associated with lower brain volumes, even in MCI (Fig. 4) and AD (Fig. 5). Intriguingly, with higher BMI, our AD group showed not only lower brain volumes, but also ventricular expansion — a further indicator of brain matter loss. One interpretation of these cross-sectional findings is that more rapid weight loss, and thus a lower BMI, tends to occur in the later stages of dementia, but the present results show brain structure differences resulting from a lifetime of high BMI. As a result, one might expect the relationship between brain volumes and BMI would change in degree if not in kind from early AD to late AD, depending on whether weight loss due to the disease becomes dominant. Another possibility, and a limitation of using BMI as a measure of obesity, is that conventional adiposity measures may not capture changes in lean body mass and adipose tissue related to the aging process (Stevens et al., 1999). Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio have been argued to be better measurements of adiposity, but these were not available for both the ADNI and CHS-CS datasets.

There are, however, several caveats to consider in this work. Our study relates body mass index to brain atrophy in persons who are relatively early in the course of their cognitive decline. These results would not apply to persons in very advanced states in dementia, for whom lack of adequate food intake is often a major predictor of mortality (Frazoni et al., 1996). Rather, our work is best applied from the standpoint of understanding how control of body weight and other lifestyle factors may promote healthy brain aging and reduce the partly preventable risks for cognitive impairment and dementia.

One notable aspect of the topography of brain matter loss in Figures 3–5 is that the atrophy associated with BMI appears to lie in the white matter rather than the cortical surface. This is mainly because: (1) the registration fields in TBM are spatially smooth and partial volume averaging effects diminish the signal somewhat at tissue boundaries, such as the cortex/CSF interface; and (2) the registration accuracy of TBM is poorer at the cortical surface, at least relative to some approaches designed to explicitly model the cortical surface, and align cortical regions (Lepore et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2004).
As noted in prior work (Hua et al., 2008b; Leow et al., 2009), to better sensitize the TBM approach for detecting cortical gray matter loss, several approaches have been considered: (1) use of voxel-based morphometry (VBM) (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) or a related approach termed RAVENS (Davatzikos et al., 2001); (2) adaptively smooth deformation-based compression signals at each point based on the amount of gray matter lying under the filter kernel (Studholme et al., 2003); or (3) run deformation maps at a very high spatial resolution and with less spatial regularization or with a regularization term that enforces continuity but not smoothness (Leow et al., 2005). In general, however, cortical differences in AD are better studied using cortical modeling methods that explicitly match cortical landmarks, although studies are more labor-intensive to perform (Thompson et al., 2001, 2003).

There remain, however, several key implications from this work. First, body tissue adiposity is independently linked to brain atrophy in cognitively impaired persons with MCI or AD. Second, this is evident in both a community cohort study and a referral clinic population — highlighting that the relationship is reproducible. Third, the strength of the BMI-brain atrophy relationship throughout the spectrum of normal cognition, MCI, and AD underscores the need to consider being overweight and obese as modifying the risk for cognitive impairment because of the link to compromised brain structure. An extended clinical possibility arising from this line of work is that controlling body fat content even in late life may reduce risk for dementia — though this will ultimately only be tested in randomized clinical trials, or interventional studies with a longitudinal component.

Methodologically, this study pools data across cohorts to improve the reproducibility and reliability of the findings. Future studies could benefit from this data-sharing approach in much the same way that genomic studies pool data across different cohorts (Thompson and Martin, 2010).

The present data have a number of interesting applications. One is derived from the field of cognitive epidemiology—a relatively new field of study that examines intelligence-health associations (Deary, 2009). In our current study, Tables 1 and 2 show that there is a difference in educational level for MCI and AD in both the ADNI ($\chi^2_1 = 79.2; p = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) and CHS-CS ($\chi^2_1 = 4.0; p = 0.05$) cohorts, with the AD group having a smaller percentage of subjects with more than 12 years of education. This could suggest a hypothetical causal chain whereby a lower educational level is associated with poorer skills for choosing healthy behaviors leading to higher BMI values and lower brain volumes. Clearly, whether or not an individual chooses healthy behaviors depends on many factors — including access to exercise, education, and other cultural factors. General intelligence may also be a contributing factor.

Specifically, general intelligence has been found to contribute to overall educational achievement (Deary et al., 2007). It is unfortunate that a measure of intelligence was not consistently collected in both the datasets analyzed here, as intelligence is the best single predictor of achieved educational level (Deary et al., 2007). This hypothetical causal chain could show that poorer intellectual function leads to a lower level of educational attainment, which may be associated with poorer skills for choosing healthy behaviors, higher BMI values, and thus lower brain volumes. Interestingly, intelligence is itself positively correlated with brain volume (Luders et al., 2009b; McDaniel, 2005).

If this line of reasoning is correct, cognitive epidemiology may provide some insight for public policy (Lubinski, 2009). We have shown that obesity may modify the risk for cognitive impairment because of the link to compromised brain structure. Thus, when proposing behaviors for controlling body fat content, the population distribution of intelligence should also be considered as the relationship between general intelligence and healthy outcomes has been established (Arden et al., 2009; Lubinski, 2009). Preventing obesity requires healthy behaviors that may already be more evident in better educated people, so healthcare systems may have greater success by developing targeting messages to populations with poorer access to education, or poorer educational attainment.
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